



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,626	06/20/2001	Hans Bruggemann	10537/126	4532

26646 7590 01/03/2003

KENYON & KENYON
ONE BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10004

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, TU MINH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3748

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/885,626

Applicant(s)
Bruggemann et al.

Examiner
Tu M. Nguyen

Art Unit
3748



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 23, 2002
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 14-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 14-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on Jun 20, 2001 is/are a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an Applicant's Amendment filed on December 23, 2002 have been entered.

Claims 1-13 have been canceled. Claims 14-25 have been added and are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 14-16, 19, 20, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Araki et al. (U.S. Patent 5,850,735).

Re claims 14, 15, 22, and 25, as shown in Figure 9, Araki et al. disclose an emission control system configured for use with an internal combustion engine (1) and a method for operating such system. The system comprises:

- a particle filter (93); and

Art Unit: 3748

- an arrangement disposed upstream from the particle filter and configured to prevent development of ash upstream from the particle filter by one of transformation and maintenance of at least one of the compounds responsible for ash formation in the gaseous state, the arrangement including:

- a device (coating layers of alumina on the surface wall of the exhaust gas passages of the filter (93)) configured to collect at least a portion of the ash-forming compounds of sulfur contained in the exhaust gas (lines 34-59 of column 15 and lines 5-16 of column 7); and

- a device (91) configured to convert the collected ash-forming compounds of sulfur into gaseous compounds of sulfur that do not form ash (the oxidation catalyst (91) oxidizes the rich components in the exhaust gas so that the oxygen level in the exhaust gas is reduced and the temperature of the exhaust gas is raised to a level sufficiently high to maximize the transformation of the collected sulfur compound into gaseous compounds of sulfur).

Re claim 16, in the emission control system of Araki et al., the arrangement includes a SO_x collector (93).

Re claims 19 and 20, in the emission control system of Araki et al., the arrangement includes an oxidation catalyst (91).

Re claim 23, the method of Araki et al. further comprises the steps of:

- operating the emission control system in a normal operating phase with a lean exhaust composition to store sulfur contained in the exhaust gas; and

Art Unit: 3748

- operating the emission control system in a regeneration phase with a rich exhaust composition to release stored sulfur as at least one gaseous compound.

Re claim 24, in the method of Araki et al., the step of operating the emission control system in the regeneration phase includes the substep of raising an exhaust temperature to between 550°C and 700°C (lines 9-46 of column 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 17 and 18, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Araki et al. as applied to claims 14 and 16, respectively, above, in view of Hirota et al. (U.S. Patent 6,233,927).

Re claims 17 and 18, the system of Araki et al. discloses the inventions as cited above, however, fails to disclose that the arrangement further includes an NOx collector.

As shown in Figure 1, Hirota et al. teach an exhaust gas purification device comprising a particle filter (7) that also absorbs SOx in the exhaust gas and a NOx collector (11) to purify harmful NOx emissions in the exhaust gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Art Unit: 3748

skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to have utilized the NOx collector taught by Hirota et al. in the system of Araki et al., since the use thereof would have reduced the emission of harmful NOx gas into the atmosphere.

Re claim 21, in the modified emission control system of Araki et al., the arrangement includes an oxidation catalyst (91).

Prior Art

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of three patents: Boegner et al. (U.S. Patent 6,119,450), Okude et al. (U.S. Patent 6,272,848), and Günther et al. (U.S. Patent 6,318,075), each discloses an exhaust gas purification apparatus comprising a SOx storage catalyst and a NOx storage catalyst.

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2833.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-7763.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

TMN

January 2, 2003

Tu M. Nguyen

Tu M. Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3748

Thomas Denion
THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700